New Directions in Numerical Linear Algebra and High Performance Computing: Celebrating the 70th Birthday of Jack Dongarra, Manchester, July 7-8, 2021 (virtual)

### Many eigenpair computation via Hotelling deflation

Zhaojun Bai University of California, Davis

1. Problem statement ("many eigenpair computation"):

Let  $(\lambda_i, v_i)$  be the eigenpairs of a  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix A with the eigenvalue ordering  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n$ . Compute the partial decomposition:

$$AV_{n_e} = V_{n_e}\Lambda_{n_e},$$

where  $\Lambda_{n_e} = diag(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n_e})$ , Interested in the cases where n is "huge" and  $n_e$  is "large"

- 2. Emerging applications:
  - electronic structure calculations of Lithium-ion electrolyte,
  - graphene,
  - dyanmics analysis of viral capsids of supramolecular systems such as Zika and West Nile viruses (structural biology)
  - ▶ ...

- 3. Existing approaches an incomplete list:
  - Full eigenvalue decomposition:
    - LAPACK, ScaLAPACK, PLASMA, MAGMA
    - ELPA (Eigenvalue Solves for Petaflop Applications), https://elpa.mpcdf.mpg.de/
    - EigenExa, https://www.r-ccs.riken.jp/labs/lpnctrt/projects/eigenexa/

    - QDWHeig [Sukkari, Ltaief, Keyes at KAUST]
    - SLATE [Gates et al, U. of Tennessee]

Stable, but expensive,  ${\cal O}(n^2)$  storage and  ${\cal O}(n^3)$  flops

"Spectrum slicing:"

- SLEPc, https://slepc.upv.es/
- EVSL, http://www.cs.umn.edu/~saad/software
- FEAST, http://www.ecs.umass.edu/~polizzi/feast/
- z-Pares, http://zpares.cs.tsukuba.ac.jp/
- ▶ ...
- SISLICE [Williams-Young and Yang, LBNL]

Scalable, but issues with duplicate/missing eigenvalues between slices, ...

 Using Lanczos or any other subspace projection methods for many eigenpairs are challenging – numerically and computationally:

• needs large subspace (memory) M, e.g.,  $m = 2n_e$ 

▶ require (internal) locking (deflation) to avoid danger of converging again to the same eigenvalues  $(O(nm^2)$  flops)

5. Many eigenpair computation is challenging even when vast computational resources are available.

Case demo: **EVSL** (http://www.cs.umn.edu/~saad/software)



Left: Dengue virus model (www.rcsb.org/structure/4cct). n = 307,260<u>Middle:</u> DOS sliced 5,076 eigenvalues into 20 subintervals (top) and the relative residual norms of eigenpairs (bottom).  $\|\hat{V}^T\hat{V} - I\|_F = O(10^{-6})$ . <u>Right:</u> Degrees of filter polynomials (top) CPU timing (bot.) for each slices

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト 二日

6. This talk is about an on-going project on

Lanczos + Hotelling deflation + Communication-avoiding

for computing many eigenpairs.

## Rest of the talk

- I. Hotelling deflation = Explicit External Deflation (EED)
- II. Backward stability of EED
- III. Communication-avoiding algorithm for MPK (CA-MPK)
- IV. Eigensolver sTRLED (= TRLan + EED + CA-MPK)
- V. Concluding remarks

## Joint work with

- Jack J. Dongarra, Univ of Tennessee
- Chao-Ping Lin, UC Davis
- Ding Lu, Univ of Kentucky
- Ichitaro Yamazaki, Sandia National Labs.

## I. Explicit External Deflation (EED)

1. Hotelling deflation (EED = Explicit External Deflation)

Let  $AV = V\Lambda$  be the eigen-decomposition of A, partition

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} V_k V_{n-k} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_k \\ & \Lambda_{n-k} \end{bmatrix},$$

and define

$$\widehat{A} = A + V_k \Sigma_k V_k^T,$$

where  $\Sigma_k = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k)$  are shifts. Then (a) The eigenvalues of  $\widehat{A}$  are

$$\lambda_i(\widehat{A}) = \begin{cases} \lambda_i + \sigma_i & \text{for } 1 \le i \le k \\ \lambda_i & \text{for } k + 1 \le i \le n \end{cases}$$

### (b) A and $\widehat{A}$ have the same eigenvectors

Therefore, one can use proper shifts  $\sigma_i$  to move "computed" eigenvalues away, and then compute the next batch of "favorite" eigenvalues for an eigensolver.

### I. Explicit External Deflation

2. Governing equations of the exact EED process: for  $j = 1, 2, \ldots$ ,

$$\begin{split} A_j &= A_{j-1} + \sigma_j v_j v_j^T = A + V_j \Sigma_j V_j^T \quad \text{and} \ A_0 = A, \\ A_j v_{j+1} &= \lambda_{j+1} v_{j+1} \\ A_j V_j &= V_j (A_j + \Sigma_j) \end{split}$$

with initial  $Av_1 = \lambda_1 v_1$ , where  $\Sigma_j = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_j)$ .

# I. Explicit External Deflation

### 3. Benefits of EED:

- Easily incooperated into existing eigensolvers, such as TRLan and ARPACK.
- Small projection subspace dimensions (core memory requirements) even for many eigenpairs.
- No need to explicitly (re)-orthogonalize the projection subspace to the computed eigenvectors.
- Accelerated convergence with warm start for A<sub>i</sub>.
- Straightforward extension to generalized symmetric eigenproblem  $Av = \lambda Bv$ :

$$(A + \sigma B V_k V_k^T B) x = \lambda B x$$

- Readily exploit structures of A and B, for example, see EED for the linear response eigenvalue problem [Bai-Li-Lin'17].
- Extensible to other eigenvalue-type problems, such as SVD, sparse PCA.
- Nonlinear eigenvector problem (NEPv) analogy: level shifting

# I. Explicit External Deflation

4. Two key issues of EED:

(a) Numerical linear algebra issue:

Numerical stability with approximate eigenvectors  $\hat{V}_k$ :

$$\widehat{A}_j = A + \widehat{V}_j \Sigma_j \widehat{V}_j^T$$

(b) High performance computing issue:

Cost of matrix powers kernel (MPK) for generating Krylov subspace:

$$\left[p_0(\widehat{A}_j)v_0, \, p_1(\widehat{A}_j)v_0, \, \dots, \, p_s(\widehat{A}_j)v_0\right]$$

where  $\{p_k(\cdot)\}$  are recursively defined polynomials.

- Mixed messages from previous work: [Wilkinson'65], [Parlett'82], [Saad'89], [Jang/Lee'06], ...
- 2. Governing equations of *inexact* EED:

$$\begin{split} \widehat{A}_j &= \widehat{A}_{j-1} + \sigma_j \widehat{v}_j \widehat{v}_j^T = A + \widehat{V}_j \Sigma_j \widehat{V}_j^T \\ \widehat{A}_j \widehat{v}_{j+1} &= \widehat{\lambda}_{j+1} \widehat{v}_{j+1} + \eta_{j+1} \\ \widehat{A}_j \widehat{V}_j &= \widehat{V}_j (\widehat{A}_j + \Sigma_j) + \widehat{V}_j \Sigma_j \Phi_j + E_j \end{split}$$

where  $\widehat{A}_0 = A$ ,

$$\begin{split} \|\eta_{j+1}\| &\leq tol \cdot \|A\|,\\ \Phi_j &= \mathsf{utri}(\widehat{V}_j^T \widehat{V}_j - I_j),\\ E_j &= [\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_j]. \end{split}$$

and tol is prescribed relative residual tolerance.

- 3. Metrics of backward stability for computed eigenpairs  $(\widehat{\Lambda}_{j+1}, \widehat{V}_{j+1})$  with relative residual tolerance *tol*:
  - The loss of orthogonality

$$\omega_{j+1} = \|\widehat{V}_{j+1}^T \widehat{V}_{j+1} - I\|_F = O(tol)$$

The symmetric backward error norm

$$\delta_{j+1} = \min_{\Delta \in \mathcal{H}} \|\Delta\|_F = O(tol \cdot \|A\|),$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\Delta} \mid (\boldsymbol{A} + \boldsymbol{\Delta}) \boldsymbol{Q}_{j+1} = \boldsymbol{Q}_{j+1} \widehat{\boldsymbol{A}}_{j+1}, \, \boldsymbol{\Delta} = \boldsymbol{\Delta}^T, \, \boldsymbol{Q}_{j+1} = \mathsf{orth}(\widehat{V}_{j+1}) \right\}$$

4. Two key quantities associated with the shifts

Spectral gap

$$\gamma_j \equiv \min_{\lambda \in \mathcal{I}_{j+1}, \theta \in \mathcal{J}_j} |\lambda - \theta|,$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_{j+1} = \{\widehat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \widehat{\lambda}_j, \widehat{\lambda}_{j+1}\}$  is the set of computed eigenvalues, and  $\mathcal{J}_j = \{\widehat{\lambda}_1 + \sigma_1, \dots, \widehat{\lambda}_j + \sigma_j\}$  is the set of computed eigenvalues with shifts.

Shift-gap ratio

$$\tau_j \equiv \frac{1}{\gamma_j} \cdot \max_{1 \le i \le j} |\sigma_i|.$$

5. Theorem.

Under mild assumptions, if

$$\gamma_j^{-1} \|A\| = O(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_j = O(1),$$
 (1)

then

$$\omega_{j+1} = O(tol) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{j+1} = O(tol \cdot ||A||).$$

#### 6. Rule of Thumb:

dynamical choice of shifts  $\sigma_j$  to satisfy the conditions (1).

7. Numerics of TRLED = TRLan + EED:

#### Test matrices:

matrix	n	$[\lambda_{\min},\lambda_{\max}]$	$[\lambda_{ m low},\lambda_{ m upper}]$	$n_e$
Laplacian	40,000	[0, 7.9995]	[0, 0.07]	205
worms20	20,055	[0, 6.0450]	[0, 0.05]	289
SiO	33,401	[-1.6745, 84.3139]	[-1.7, 2.0]	182
Si34H36	97,569	[-1.1586, 42.9396]	[-1.2, 0.4]	310
Ge87H76	112,985	[-1.214, 32.764]	[-1.3, -0.0053]	318
Ge99H100	112,985	[-1.226, 32.703]	[-1.3, -0.0096]	372

7. Numerics of TRLED = TRLan + EED, cont'd

Results:

matrix	$\widehat{n}_e$	$j_{ m max}$	$\omega_{\widehat{n}_e}$	$  R_{1}   = /4$ norm	CPU time (sec.)	
				$\ n_{\widehat{n}_e}\ _{\mathrm{F}}/\mathrm{MOTM}$	TRLED	TRLan
Laplacian	205	60	$1.93 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$6.33 \cdot 10^{-8}$	66.5	86.0
worms20	289	86	$2.63 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$7.24 \cdot 10^{-8}$	57.3	74.8
SiO	182	41	$2.33 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$4.71 \cdot 10^{-8}$	42.4	47.1
Si34H36	310	72	$3.41 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$7.50 \cdot 10^{-8}$	309.9	310.4
Ge87H76	318	66	$4.08 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$8.50 \cdot 10^{-8}$	388.7	421.0
Ge99H100	372	74	$3.65 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$7.63 \cdot 10^{-8}$	501.1	533.4

EED profile of Ge99H100:





- 7. Numerics of TRLED = TRLan + EED, cont'd
  - Observations:

(1) All desired eigenvalues are successfully computed:  $n_e = \hat{n}_e$ 

(2) all computed eigenpairs are backward stable:

 $\omega_{n_e} = O(tol) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{n_e} \approx \|R_{n_e}\| = O(tol \cdot \|A\|).$ 

- (3) EED does not slow down, in fact, slightly faster, partially due to smaller "internal" memory usage and warm start.
- 8. With proper choice of shifts, Hotelling deflation (EED) would not compromise numerical stability of an eigensolver.

[Lin, Lu and Bai, arXiv:2105.01298, May 2021]

1. Sparse-plus-low-rank matrix powers kernel (MPK)

$$[p_0(B)v, p_1(B)v, \dots, p_s(B)v]$$

with

$$B = A + \sigma U_k U_k^T = \text{sparse} + \text{low rank}$$

and  $AU_k = U_k \Lambda_k$ ,  $U_k^T U_k = I_k$  and  $p_j(\cdot)$  are polynomials defined *recursively*.

2. For simplicity, consider polynomials  $\{p_j(\cdot)\}$  in the monomial basis and compute the MPK:

$$[p_0(B)v, p_1(B)v, \dots, p_s(B)v] = [x, Bx, B^2x, \dots, B^sx]$$
$$\equiv [x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(s)}]$$

- 3. Standard MPK algorithm for computing  $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \ldots$ 
  - 1:  $x^{(0)} = x$ ; 2: for j = 1: s do 3:  $x^{(j)} = Bx^{(j-1)} = Ax^{(j-1)} + \sigma(U_k(\underline{U_k^T x^{(j-1)}}))$ 4: end for

- 4. Performance example
  - ►  $B = A + \sigma U_k U_k^T$ , where A is 2D Laplacian and  $U_k$  are eigenvectors
  - MPK  $V_s = [p_0(B)v_0, p_1(B)v_0, \dots, p_s(B)v_0]$
  - Timing of the standard algorithm in MATLAB on a desktop blue curve.



Q: what's the red curve?

5. An algorithm has two costs:

arithmetic (flops) + movement of data (communication)

- 6. Communication is the bottleneck on modern architectures.
- 7. Q: How to exploit the sparse-plus-low-rank matrix structure to reduce communication cost?

Ans.: use a specialized communication-avoiding algorithm developed by

- Leiserson-Rao-Toledo'97 ("out-of-core") and
- Knight-Carson-Demmel'13 ("exploiting data sparsity")

8. Communication-Avoiding (CA) algorithm for the MPK

1: 
$$x^{(0)} = x$$
  
2:  $b_0 = U_k^T x^{(0)}$   
3:  $W_k^{(j)} = A_k^j + \sigma \sum_{i=1}^j A_k^{i-1} (A_k + \sigma)^{j-i}$  for  $j = 1 : s - 1$ ,  
4:  $b_j = W_k^{(j)} b_0$  for  $j = 1 : s - 1$   
5:  $[c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{s-1}] = U_k [b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{s-1}]$   
6: for  $j = 1 : s$  do  
7:  $x^{(j)} = Ax^{(j-1)} + \sigma c_{j-1}$   
8: end for

- 9. Benefits of CA-MPK algorithm:
  - Reduced flops

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{flops}_{\mathrm{std}} &= nnzA \cdot s + nks + nks \\ \mathsf{flops}_{\mathrm{ca}} &= nnzA \cdot s + nks + nk + O(k^2s) \end{split}$$

22 / 27

Reduced movement of data:  $U_k$  is only accessed twice.

- 10. Performance example, cont'd:
  - ►  $B = A + \sigma U_k U_k^T$ , where A is 2D Laplacian and  $U_k$  are eigenvectors
  - MPK  $V_s = [p_0(B)v_0, p_1(B)v_0, \dots, p_s(B)v_0]$
  - Timing in MATLAB
    - Standard algorithm blue curve
    - CA algorithm red curve



- 10. Performance example, cont'd:
  - $B = A + \sigma U_k U_k^T$ , where A is 2D Laplacian and  $U_k$  are eigenvectors
  - MPK  $V_s = [p_0(B)v_0, p_1(B)v_0, \dots, p_s(B)v_0]$
  - Timing in one node (32 cores) of Cori (NERSC)



11. Rounding error analysis of CA-MPK

## IV. Lanczos algorithm with EED and MPK

- 1. Lanczos algorithm
  - Lanczos process

$$AQ_m = Q_m T_m + \beta_m q_{m+1} e_m^T$$

where

$$ext{span}\{Q_j\}= ext{span}\{q,Aq,\ldots,A^{m-1}q\}$$
 (Krylov subspace)

Rayleigh-Ritz approximation

$$T_m x_i = \theta_i x_i$$
$$(\lambda_i, v_i) \approx (\theta_i, Q_m x_i)$$

- 2. Lacnzos algorithm is efficient for computing a few exterior eigenvalues (and eigenvectors).
- 3. Two main kernels
  - Matrix-Vector multiply (SpMV) for generating Krylov subspace: Aq
  - Re-orthogonalization for maintaining orthonormal basis vectors: Q<sub>j</sub>
- 4. Two variants of Lanczos method:
  - Thick-restart Lanczos (TRLan) control m size
  - s-step Lanczos (s-Lanczos) reduce communication cost by using MPK

## IV. Lanczos algorithm with EED and MPK

- 5. sTRLED = s-step-TRLan + EED + CA-MPK
- Preliminary results on strong-parallel scaling of sTRLED on multi-processor for Si87H76:

 $n = 240, 369, n_e = 700$ computing 100 eigenvalues at a time with m = 200 and s = 5



[Bai, Dongarra, Lu, Yamazaki, IPDPS19]

# V. Concluding remarks

- 1. Many eigenpairs computation in emerging applications, a challenging problem even when vast computational resources are available.
- 2. Two techniques discussed in this talk:
  - Explicit external deflation (EED) for reliably moving away computed eigenpairs,
  - a communication-avoiding matrix powers kernel (CA-MPK) for fast sparse-plus-low-rank MPK
- 3. The capability of being able to efficiently compute large number of eigenvalues will not just be appealing, but also mandatory for the next generation of eigensolvers.